IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G)

SECOND APPEAL No. 53 /06 Single Bench

Appellant
Plaintiff : Dirgan
S/o Dheerami aged about 62 years
Caste Satnami
R/o Village Ghorbandha, & Tahsil Lormi
District Bilaspur (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents
Defendants :
1) Anujram Aged About 37 years
S/o Pandit
2) Tekulal Aged about 24 years
S/o Pandit
Resp. 1 & 2 both resident of
Village lokbandh
Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3) Agambai aged about 32 years,
W/o Anuj, D/o Pandit,
R/o Village lalpur, tahsil lormi
District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4) Saberi bai Aged about 30 years
W/o Bharosi, D/o Pandit
R/o village Murtikapa
P.O. Tendua Distt. Bilaspur
5) Kalendri W/o Pandit
Aged about 62 years
Village lokbandh, P.S. & Tahsil kota
Distt. Bilaspur
6) Kaliram Aged about 30 years
S/o Amar
7) Maheshram Aged about 23 years
S/o Amar
Resp. 6 & 7 both Resident of lokbandh
P.O. Kargi Tahsil Kota Bilaspur (C.G.)
8) Ram Aged about 18 years
S/o Amar Minor through Mother Bhuribai
W/o Amar R/o Village Lokbandh
Tahsil Kota Distt. Bilaspur C.G.
9) Ahilyabai Aged about 30 years
W/o Parmeshwar, D/o Amar
R/o Dharampura tahsil lormi Distt Bilaspur
10) Champabai Aged about 29 Years
W/o Gulab, D/o Amar
R/o Dharampura tahsil lormi Distt Bilaspur
11) Chameli Aged about 17 years
Minor through mother Bhuribai W/o Amar

P.R. No. 27/9/06
Presented by ... Advocate
dated 21/2/06

(2)

4

12) Bhuribai Aged about 57 years
W/o Amar
Resp. no 11 &12 both resident
of lokbandh Tahsil kota Distt. Bilaspur
13) Motimbai Aged about 57 years
W/o Budhari, D/o Dheeraji
R/o pendritalab Tahsil lormi
Distt. Bilaspur
14) Kamlabai alias Kolabai Aged about 54
years W/o Tilakdas D/o Dheeraji
R/o Chakla Tahsil Lormi Distt. Bilaspur
15) Anjori Aged about 47 years Father Samaro
R/o Pendritalab Tahsil lormi
Distt. Bilaspur
16) Kadambai Aged about 47 Years
W/o Mangalu, D/o Samaroo
R/o Pathra, P.O.Bodtarakala
Tahsil Lormi Distt. Bilaspur C.G.
17) Bhajiharinbai Aged about 47 years
W/o Dheeraji, R/o Lokbandh
Tahsil Kota distt. BILASPUR C.G.
18) State of M.P. Presently State of C.G.
Through Collector Bilaspur

SECOND CIVIL APPEAL UNDER SECTION 100 OF C.P.C.

XI-HC-78

19

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक S.A. No. 53 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	27.02.2007 Shri Neeraj Choudhary, counsel for the appellant. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No. 18. Heard on admission. The only point urged by the learned counsel for the appellant is that both the Courts below have erred in refusing to admit in evidence the unregistered document dated 19.1.80 and the unregistered relinquishment deed executed by Adhar on 26.6.1996 and 27.7.1996. No other point was urged in this appeal. Having heard learned counsel, I have perused the record and am of the considered opinion that the finding recorded by both the Courts below that the deed of relinquishment by Adhar on 26.6.1996 and 27.7.1996 and also the document dated 19.1.1980 being unregistered could not be admitted in evidence is in conformity with law. In this view of the matter, the finding recorded by both the Courts below being in accordance with law, no substantial question of law is involved in this appeal. As a result, the Second Appeal is dismissed at the stage of admission. No order as to costs. **Sd-/** **Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh** **Judge**	

Kvr